

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,150	03/19/2004	Shaw H. Chen	UR-0206CV	8652
759	90 01/11/2005		EXAMINER	
Kenneth J. LuKacher, Esq.			WU, SHEAN CHIU	
South Winton Court Suite 204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3136 Winton Ro	oad South	•	1756	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Rochester, NY 14623			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		jh .				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/805,150	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shean C. Wu	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	 action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,10,12,17,18,23,27,32,33 and 35</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>3-6,8,9,11,13-16,19-22,24,25,28-31 a</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. is/are rejected. and 34 is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/6/04</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/805,150

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5, the third, fourth and eighth compounds of Ch and N have the same structural formulae.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 12 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are confusing because the third, fourth and eighth compounds of Ch and N have the same structural formulae.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 7, 10, 17-18, 23, 26, 32-33 and 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delavier et al. (US 5,804,097).

The reference discloses that liquid crystal compounds represented by the formula (I) are suitable for use in display or for the production of light-reflecting film. The reference compounds

Application/Control Number: 10/805,150 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

of the formula (I) have smectic, nematic and cholesteric phases. The compounds of the formula (I) are intermediate between low molecular and polymeric liquid crystal compounds. They can be prepared with very uniform structure and have viscosities like those of polymers. The Examples 2-8 (col. 7) and Examples 24-25 (col. 13) have a 1, 3, 5-benzenetricarbonyl core attached with nematic and chiral groups, respectively. The reference Examples 82, 84 and 86 have mixtures of nematic and chiral groups with ratio 2:1.

The reference differs from the present invention in that the present structural formula (I) is not exemplified in the reference examples. Because the nematic/chiral ratio is 2/1 in the reference examples (82, 84 and 86), it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to take advantage of the reference teaching by mixing these oligomesogens to arrive at the claimed invention.

With respect to claim 33, the clear substrate is well known and used with a color-selective reflector in optical devices, therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to use clear substrate in optical devices.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-6, 8-9, 11, 13-16, 19-22, 24-25, 28-31 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,150 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shean C. Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shean C Wu Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

scw